RESEARCH ARTICLE

An insight into the transmission role of insect vectors based on the examination of gene characteristics of African swine fever virus originated from non-blood sucking flies in pig farm environments

Jinling Liu^{1†}, Gen Lu^{1†}, Yuesong Cui¹, Shu Wei², Tongqing An³, Guoshun Shen^{1*} and Zeliang Chen^{1,4,5*}

Abstract

Background: Insect vector transmitted pathogens from contaminated environments are a key potential risk for public health. Meanwhile, transmission by non-blood sucking flies needs to be considered. Sequencing and phylogenetic tree analyses were used to study African swine fever virus (ASFV) genes derived from flies collected from pig farms that were infected with ASFV. The major differential genes were analyzed the encoded proteins, particularly their conformation, physico-chemical features, and interactions identified by immunophenotyping.

Results: Results showed that the ASFV *p72* and *D117L* genes from these non-blood sucking flies identified by morphology have high sequence similarity from ASFV genotype II strains, however, A179L is found in an independent cluster, with five amino acid substitutions; four of which are in a continuous sequence. Moreover, the binding of a BH3 peptide into a surface groove formed by α-helices of ASFV A179L from the non-blood sucking flies is consistent with that of representative ASFV genotype II strains, Georgia/2007.They only differ in the direction of spatial interaction of six conserved amino residues. Many hydrophilic amino residues are located at the canonical ligand-binding groove of A179L from flies, with hydrophobic amino residues located at the corresponding positions in A179L of the Georgia/2007.Furthermore, analysis of protein interactions by immunophenotyping revealed that both A179Ls have similar roles in regulating autophagy and apoptosis.

Conclusions: In conclusion, the main genes that differ between ASFV from flies and Georgia/2007 were similar in structure and protein interaction, while exhibiting differences in physico-chemical features and amino acid variations. Understanding the mechanical transmission characteristics of non-blood sucking flies is important.

Keywords: ASFV, Non-blood sucking fly, Differential gene, Variant, Transmission

⁺Jinling Liu and Gen Lu are first authors contributed equally to this article. ¹Key Laboratory of Livestock Infectious Diseases in Northeast China, Ministry of Education, College of Animal Science & Veterinary Medicine, Shenyang Agricultural University, No.120, Dongling Road, Shenhe District, Shenyang 110866, PR China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



[©] The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.





^{*} Correspondence: 1990500015@syau.edu.cn; chzl@syau.edu.cn

Background

African swine fever (ASF) is a notifiable, highly contagious, and fatal viral hemorrhagic fever that affects all species of the Suidae family. Currently, no safe vaccines or treatments are available for prevention and control [1]. ASF has a serious socioeconomic impact on the international trade of pigs and pig products [2-4]. On August 3, 2018, the first ASF case in China was reported in in the city of Shenyang of the Liaoning province. As of September 30, 2019, ASF outbreaks were reported in almost all geographical regions of China, affecting 31 provinces, and seriously impacting pig production and pork consumption. However, between August 2018 and September 2019, ASF outbreaks in China gradually decreased, indicating that the improvements in biosecurity measures on farms and more stringent surveillance by veterinary authorities have been effective (http://www. moa.gov.cn/xw/zwdt/201902/t201902 02_6171163.htm).

Concurrently, we noticed that most ASF outbreaks were detected during the warmer seasons [5]. Average mortality and morbidity rates were considerably higher between April and September in China (http://www. moa. gov.cn/xw/zwdt/201902/ t201902026171163.htm), implying the importance of seasonality in ASF transmission. Interestingly, the increasing numbers of ASF outbreaks observed in domestic herds during the summer period also coincided with increased insect prevalence in China, suggesting a potential role of insects as vectors for transmitting ASFV. It is well known from previous studies that ASFV transmission can also occur via animal contact, contaminated feed or fomites, as well as through tick vectors [6]. In particular, one recently study demonstrated that blood-sucking flies, such as stable flies (Stomoxys calcitrans), have been shown to transmit ASFV mechanically after ingesting viremia blood and subsequently feeding on pigs [7]. Currently, no studies have shown evidence of ASFV transmission by nonblood sucking flies [8]. To advance our understanding of such modes of transmission, here, we aimed to further elucidate the transmission characteristics of non-blood sucking flies in ASFV infection and to contribute toward an assessment of the potential public health risk posed by such flies.

Results

Sequence alignment and homology analysis

Collecting flies (n = 35) were identified morphologically using identification keys such as color and stripe of fly body, chest and abdomen, wings and tentacles, etc., and those identified flies included *M. domestica* (n = 32) and *Drosophila spp.* (n = 3). Tested results of ASFV-DNA were positive for *M. domestica* flies and negative for *Drosophila* flies. Subsequently, the positive DNA products of PCR were sequenced. As shown in Fig. 1.a-b, the ASFV p72 and D117L genes from M. domestica flies clustered with other genotype II isolates, such as Georgia 2007/1, Georgia 2008-1/2, Belgium 2008/1, ASFV-Pol-2015-Podlaskie, Estonia-2014, and China 2018 isolates from Heilongjiang, Shenyang, and Anhui. ASFV genotype identification often depends on partial p72 gene characterization. Thus, the ASFVs from M. domestica flies (ASFV-PF18-Fly) in this study belong to the genotype II (Fig. 1a). However, the A179L gene of ASFV-PF18-Fly was present in an independent cluster compared to the other 13 isolates analyzed in this study (Fig. 1c). Protein sequences of ASFV A179L from M. domestica flies and a representative strain of ASFV genotype II, Georgia/2007, were compared. As expected, the amino acid sequence encoded by A179L gene of ASFV from M. domestica flies differs from that of Georgia/ 2007 by five amino acid substitutions, observed by superimposing their corresponding protein structures. These substitutions include Thr for Asn at position 57, Leu for Pro at position 60, Thr for Ser at position 61, Ser for Ile at position 62, and Val for Ile at position 63. Positions 60-63 contain a variation of four consecutive amino acids (Fig. 1d).

Comparing the structural configuration of ASFV A179L proteins

The ASFV A179L configuration from M. domestica flies is similar to that of Georgia/2007, Both A179L proteins contain a BH3-binding motif in the surface groove formed by α -helices, where the BH3 peptide interacts with six conserved amino residues of A179L (Fig. 2a-b). While the key structural hallmarks of A179L-BH3 interaction are conserved in both A179L proteins, the six amino residues (Tyr46, Glu64, Asn83, Gly85, Asp80, and Arg86) that are responsible for stabilizing the complexes, which differ in the direction of their interactions (Fig. 2e-f). Beyond that, many hydrophilic amino residues are located at the central hydrophobic cleft of the ASFV A179L from *M. domestica* flies, while hydrophobic amino residues are distributed in the correspond location of A179L in the Georgia/2007 strain (Fig. 2c-d). Generally, protein receptors can recognize aromatic residues in deep hydrophobic cavities or through large clusters that form hydrophobic surfaces. Hydrophobic interactions play an important role in the initiation and propagation of protein folding [9].

Immunophenotypes analysis of interaction partner with A179L homology

An interactome map was constructed for Bcl-2, the A179L protein homolog. Potential interaction partners of Bcl-2 with a high confidence score (C0.700) were predicted using the STRING analysis tool. Analysis of protein-protein interactions showed that Bcl-2 can



directly interact with Beclin1 in the pig internal environment, whereas more regulatory proteins such as BAD and BID also participate in the regulation (Fig. 3a). Thus, the transcription levels of *Bcl-2* and *Beclin1* were detected using fluorescent qPCR after transfecting ASFV *PEGX-A179L* from *M. domestica* flies and Georgia/2007 into HEK-293 T cells, respectively (Fig. 3b-d). The results indicated that over-expressions of A179L correlate with transcription levels of autophagy and apoptosis. Here, A179L from *M. domestica* flies and Georgia/2007 inhibited *Beclin-1* transcription, and up-regulated *Bcl-2* transcription at 30 h and 36 h, while down regulated it at 24 h (Fig. 3c-d).

Discussion

As stated previously,various blood-sucking insects, such as mosquitoes are known to transmit viral and bacterial infections, as well as stable flies (*Stomoxys calcitrans*) and horse flies (*Tabanidae*) can transmit virus via being eaten by pigs during feeding or bites. Therefore, bloodsucking fling insects may play a role in pathogen transmission within farms. However, the flies caught in our study were M. domestica and Drosophila spp., belong to non-blood sucking species. At present, no information exists about transmission of ASFV by these fly species. Additionally, prior studies that have noted the correlation between vector abundance and disease occurrence [10]. Hence, one possible route of ASFV introduction into farms might be via the flies that have traveled or been introduced from infected farms. This mode of transmission in domestic pigs could explain the increasing number of outbreaks observed during the summer months in domestic pigs in China in 2018-2019. It is unlikely that this route is the principal mode of viral transmission within pig herds, but it is possible mechanism for initial entry of the virus into a pig population on a farm.

In this study, another important finding was that we did not detect ASFV genes from *Drosophila* flies (n = 3), this may be due to the small sample size. Meanwhile, ASFV genes in *M. domestica* flies were detected using nest PCR not conventional PCR, indicating that the



DNA content of ASFV from *M. domestica* flies is relatively low. Therefore, preliminary speculation was that flies were not biological host of ASFV, since ASFV replication within them is not continuous [11-13],when ASF viruses were ingested by *M. domestica* flies along with decaying food, or were mechanical transmitted by *M. domestica* flies after released into the environment in pig farm caused by infected pigs. However, this does not mean that ASFV is inactivated from all flies in proximity to pig farms in China. Although it is to be determined whether the ASFV from the flies represents an active infection or a dietary/environmental origin, it is worth considering that *M. domestica* flies may potentially contaminate pig-feeding sources or accidentally be ingested by pigs via carrying the virus on/in their bodies.

Moreover, discovery of a novel genotype XXIV that was reported for the first time in soft ticks in Mozambique, highlights the diversity of ASFV variants found in the sylvatic cycle [14]. In addition, it has previously been shown that the virus circulating in Sardinia has undergone genetic variation in two genome regions, B602L and EP402R. This variant has rapidly replaced the earliest viruses, perhaps because of some selective advantage, although clinical data suggest that Sardinian ASFV had no changes in virulence [15]. These studies highlight the epidemiological importance of biting vector transmission and the sylvatic cycle in harboring and disseminating new and existing virus strains, and broaden our understanding of the potential ecological and biological drivers affecting ASFV genetic variability present in the environment.

Generally, conserved open reading frames encode structural proteins; transcription factors, such as mammary gland specific nuclear factor (MGF) implicated in interferon type 1 (IFN I) immune response; or proteins involved in nucleotide metabolism, DNA repair, and viral replication, such as A240L [15, 16]. An inner envelope component, the protein p17 (encoded by D117L), is



required for the assembly of the capsid layer on the membrane [17, 18]. A179L, the viral Bcl-2 homolog of ASFV, interacts with pro-apoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins to inhibit apoptosis, and affects autophagy by interacting with Beclin1 through its BH3 homology domain [19]. This domain displays major variability with five amino acid substitutions, four of which are in a continuous sequence. The degree of variation significantly differs from the other ASFV genes examined from *M. domestica* flies. Also, the other differences mainly display in the hydrophilicity of the BH3 binding groove and key conserved structural hallmarks of A179L-BH3 interaction features. The biological significance of these variations is not clear because we have not isolated the virus from these flies due to experiment condition limitation. Therefore, it is not clear whether or not the changes to protein structures interfere with their functions, the antigenic properties of A179L or affecting viral virulence, or more characteristics of non-blood sucking flies in transmission of ASFV.

As previously reported, ASFV A179L also inhibited autophagy by binding Beclin1 in the arthropod hosts [19, 20]. Protein interaction analysis using STING tool

revealed that Bcl-2, the A179L homolog, can directly interact with Beclin1 in pig internal environment, whereas more regulatory proteins such as BAD and BID also participate in the regulation of the pig immune system. Concurrently, the results of A179L transfection and fluorescent qPCR verification showed that that overexpression of ASFV A179L from M. domestica flies and Georgia/2007 correlate with transcription levels of autophagy and apoptosis. qPCR results showed that Bcl-2 transcription was higher after 36 h of transfection of A179L obtained from both flies and pigs, compared with those of Bcl-2 at 24 h and 30 h, meanwhile, Beclin-1 transcription of autophagy gene keeping decreased all the time. Numerous large DNA viruses to subvert programmed cell death-based host defense systems typically use structural and functional homologs of Bcl-2. The A179 L protein is expressed in cells in both early and late stages post-infection with ASFV, but is not packaged into virus particles [18, 21]. This suggests that A179 L may be involved in inhibiting apoptosis throughout infection, but not during the earliest stages when viral cores enter the cytoplasm. Furthermore, apoptotic regulation by ASFV A179L from pigs and M. domestica flies

need to be studied over time to understand the differences in apoptotic pathways and mechanisms.

We observed an independent cluster in our analysis as a result of variations in the ASFV A179L gene of M. domestica flies. Interestingly, many ASFV genes from M. domestica flies collected in two pig farms have genetic variations similar to the A179L, forming independent branches. Most of them encoded proteins involved in immunosuppression (Unpublished data, personal communication with professor Zeliangchen research team). The biological characteristics of the variations is not clear because live virus isolation is not attempted. Fly sample size and pig farm number with ASFV infection are limited in this study, but the authors propose that DNA variation of non ASFV p72 gene from M. domestica flies gives us a new insight on the potential risks to public health caused by gene recombination of ASFV in the environment, together with genetic variation and transmission of ASFV by non-blood sucking flies, which need to be carefully considered. In the future, further studies including increasing the research of other pathogens harbored by flying insects in farms of negative for ASF, samples size for *M. domestica* and *Drosophila* flies as well as other non-blood sucking fly species, especially considering that functional identification of other ASFV proteins derived from flying insects instead of primarily focused on single detection analysis of ASFV p72 gene from environmental samples. Furthermore, our study highlights the need for investigating the potential risk of ASFV harbored by non-blood sucking flies involved in spreading into the local domestic cycle and international value chains of pork product. These factors are important indicators of pathogen transmission by non-blood sucking flying insects.

Conclusion

In summary, the major differential genes of ASFV from M. domestica flies in infected pig farm environments and representative strains of ASFV genotype II, Georgia/ 2007, are similar in structure and immunophenotype of interaction partners, but different in physico-chemical features and amino acid variations. This data shared first a summary of the major differential gene variation characteristics of ASFV harbored by non-blood sucking flies. It is important to be aware of potential risks to public health from genetic recombination and genetic variability of ASFV in non-blood sucking flies, along with transmission characteristics of flying insect vectors in spreading pathogens. In particular, on affected pig farms, increased disinfection of breeding environments to prevent further ASF outbreaks warranted. Our data give a new insight into the characteristics of mechanical transmission pathogens by non-blood sucking flies. This study provides preliminary information that will be important for controlling ASFV transmission risks by non-blood sucking flying insects and prevention programs.

Methods

Nucleotide identification and phylogenetic tree analysis

Flies were collected using flytraps in the vicinity of two pig farms that were confirmed to be infected with ASFV. Flies were killed using chloroform for species identification. After separation the body parts of eight-ten at a time, nucleic acids were extracted from the fly samples using a DNA/RNA Virus Kit (Transgene Biotech, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and subjected to conventional and nest PCR amplification. The A179L, D117L, and B646L (encoding the p72 capsid protein) gene fragments of ASFV were amplified using six pairs of primers and identified by nucleotide sequencing. Subsequently, the retrieved nucleotide sequences were aligned using Clustal W, and the phylogenetic trees were drawn using MEGA 7.0, with the maximum likelihood method for 1000 bootstrap values. Additionally, the differences in amino acid sequences of the major ASFV differential genes were observed in conjunction with the corresponding superimposed structures of ASFV proteins.

Construction of the three-dimensional model and comparison of physico-chemical features for major differential genes

The main differential gene identified from sequencing and evolutionary tree analysis was A179L, which we studied further. As the three-dimensional structure of ASFV A179L protein from flies has not yet been elucidated, comparative and predicted modeling was used. To achieve this, the amino acid sequences of A179L from flies and the Georgia/2007 strain (GenBank: FR682468) were aligned using Visual Molecular Dynamics 1.9.3 and submitted to the Swiss-Model server to construct the A179L model using the alignment mode. During this process, the crystallographic structure mode of the BH3 binding motif in ASFV A179L (5UA4) obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (www.rcsb. org) was used as a template. In addition, the degree of hydrophobicity, hydrophilicity, and properties of functional amino acids were evaluated using Discovery Studio 3.5.

Analysis of protein interaction and phenotypic verification

A179L is the viral Bcl2 homolog (vBcl2). Similar to Bcl-2, A179L protects cells from apoptosis, even when expressed in heterologous systems such as vaccinia or baculovirus [22–24]. In order to further understand the characteristics of ASFV A179L from the flies and Georgia 2007/1 involved in apoptosis regulation, the

proteins interacting with Bcl-2 were analyzed using STRING (Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Proteins, http://string-db.org/) by referring to the related signal information. This followed by immunophenotyping verification of protein interactions using fluorescence quantitative PCR (qPCR; Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) after being transfected the recombinant gene of ASFV *PEGX-A179L* from the flies and Georgia 2007/1(gene synthesis from Shanghai Bioengineering Co., Ltd., China) into HEK-293 T cells with Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), respectively.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12917-020-02420-5.

Additional file 1.

Abbreviations

ASF: African swine fever; ASFV: African swine fever virus; DNA: Deoxyribonucleic Acid; PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction; qPCR: quantitative PCR; STRING: Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Proteins; HEK-293 T: Human Embryonic Kidney 293 T; PDB: Protein Data Bank; MEGA 7.0: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis 7.0

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the Preventive Center of Animal Disease of Liaoning Province and Harbin Veterinary Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences for data collection and analysis guidance of this project. We thank all the authors who contributed to the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' contributions

JL designed the study and wrote the original manuscript. GL involved in the analysis of the data with software and methodology. YC coordinated the study. SW involved in the sample collection. TA evaluated the statistical analyses.GS and ZC contributed to development and writing of the paper. All authors have read and approved the manuscript

Funding

This work was supported by the Natural Science Program of Liaoning Province (2019-MS-272), the Liaoning Innovative Talent Project (LR2019062), the National Key Research and Development Program Projects (2017YFD0500305, 2017YFD0500901), the National Key Program for Infectious Disease of China (2018ZX10101002–002), the State Key Program of National Natural Science of China (U1808202). Funder had no role in the design of experiments or interpretation of results, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated and/or analyzed during this study are included in this manuscript. The raw data are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as no potential conflict of interest and competing interests.

Author details

¹Key Laboratory of Livestock Infectious Diseases in Northeast China, Ministry of Education, College of Animal Science & Veterinary Medicine, Shenyang Agricultural University, No.120, Dongling Road, Shenhe District, Shenyang 110866, PR China. ²The Preventive Center of Animal Disease of Liaoning Province, No.95, Renhe Road, Shenbei District, Shenyang 110164, PR China. ³Harbin Veterinary Research Institute of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, No. 678, Haping road, Xiangfang district, Harbin 150069, PR China. ⁴Beijing Advanced Innovation Center for Soft Matter Science and Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing 100029, PR China. ⁵Brucellosis Prevention and Treatment Engineering Technology Research Center of Inner Mongolia Autonomous region, Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, Tongliao 028000, PR China.

Received: 14 April 2020 Accepted: 8 June 2020 Published online: 02 July 2020

References

- Vergne T, Chen-Fu C, Li S, Cappelle J, Edwards J, Martin V, Pfeiffer DU, Fusheng G, Roger FL. Pig empire under infectious threat: risk of African swine fever introduction into the People's Republic of China. Vet Rec. 2017; 181(5):117.
- Bellini S, Rutili D, Guberti V. Preventive measures aimed at minimizing the risk of African swine fever virus spread in pig farming systems. Acta Vet Scand. 2016;58(1):82.
- Bosch J, Rodriguez A, Iglesias I, Munoz MJ, Jurado C, Sanchez-Vizcaino JM, de la Torre A. Update on the risk of introduction of African swine fever by wild boar into disease-free European Union countries. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2017;64(5):1424–32.
- Zhou X, Li N, Luo Y, Liu Y, Miao F, Chen T, Zhang S, Cao P, Li X, Tian K, et al. Emergence of African swine fever in China, 2018. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018;65(6):1482–4.
- Nurmoja I, Mõtus K, Kristian M, Niine T, Schulz K, Depner K, Viltrop A. Epidemiological analysis of the 2015–2017 African swine fever outbreaks in Estonia. Prev Vet Med. 2018;S0167–5877(0118):30361.
- Costard S, Mur L, Lubroth J, Sanchez-Vizcaino JM, Pfeiffer DU. Epidemiology of African swine fever virus. Virus Res. 2013;173(1):191–7.
- Kahana-Sutin E, Klement E, Lensky I, Gottlieb Y. High relative abundance of the stable fly Stomoxys calcitrans is associated with lumpy skin disease outbreaks in Israeli dairy farms. Med Vet Entomol. 2017;31(2):150–60.
- Herm R, Tummeleht L, Jürison M, Vilem A, Viltrop A. Trace amounts of African swine fever virus DNA detected in insects collected from an infected pig farm in Estonia. Vet Med Sci. 2020;6(1):100–4.
- Dyson HJ, Wright PE, Scheraga HA. The role of hydrophobic interactions in initiation and propagation of protein folding. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2006;103(35):13057–61.
- Hayes EB, Komar N, Nasci RS, Montgomery SP, O'Leary DR, Campbell GL. Epidemiology and transmission dynamics of West Nile virus disease. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11(8):1167–73.
- Baldacchino F, Muenworn V, Desquesnes M, Desoli F, Charoenviriyaphap T, Duvallet G. Transmission of pathogens by Stomoxys flies (Diptera, Muscidae): a review. Parasite. 2013;20:26.
- Olesen AS, Lohse L, Hansen MF, Boklund A, Halasa T, Belsham GJ, Rasmussen TB, Botner A, Bodker R. Infection of pigs with African swine fever virus via ingestion of stable flies (Stomoxys calcitrans). Transbound Emerg Dis. 2018;65(5):1152–7.
- Quembo CJ, Jori F, Vosloo W, Heath LJT, Diseases E. Genetic characterization of African swine fever virus isolates from soft ticks at the wildlife/domestic interface in Mozambique and identification of a novel genotype. Transbound Emerg Dis. 2017;65(2):420–31.
- Bacciu D, Deligios M, Sanna G, Madrau MP, Oggiano AJVR. Genomic analysis of Sardinian 26544/OG10 isolate of African swine fever virus. Virol Rep. 2016; 6:81–9.
- 15. Galindo I, Alonso C. African swine fever virus: a review. Viruses. 2017;9:103.
- Suarez C, Gutierrez-Berzal J, Andres G, Salas ML, Rodriguez JM. African swine fever virus protein p17 is essential for the progression of viral membrane precursors toward icosahedral intermediates. J Virol. 2010;84(15):7484–99.
- Banjara S, Shimmon GL, Dixon LK, Netherton CL, Hinds MG, Kvansakul M: Crystal Structure of African Swine Fever Virus A179L with the Autophagy Regulator Beclin. Viruses 2019, 11(9).

- Alejo A, Matamoros T, Guerra M, Andrés G. A proteomic atlas of the African swine fever virus particle. J Virol. 2018;92(23):e01293–18.
- Hernaez B, Cabezas M, Munoz-Moreno R, Galindo I, Cuesta-Geijo MA, Alonso C. A179L, a new viral Bcl2 homolog targeting Beclin 1 autophagy related protein. Curr Mol Med. 2013;13(2):305–16.
- Boinas FS, Wilson AJ, Hutchings GH, Martins C, Dixon LJ. The persistence of African swine fever virus in field-infected Ornithodoros erraticus during the ASF endemic period in Portugal. PLoS One. 2011;6(5):e20383.
- Afonso CL, Neilan JG, Kutish GF, Rock DL. An African swine fever virus Bc1-2 homolog, 5-HL, suppresses apoptotic cell death. J Virol. 1996;70(7):4858–63.
- Brun A, Rivas C, Esteban M, Escribano JM, Alonso C. African swine fever virus gene A179L, a viral homologue of bcl-2, protects cells from programmed cell death. Virology. 1996;225(1):227–30.
- 23. Neilan JG, Lu Z, Afonso CL, Kutish GF, Sussman MD, Rock DL. An African swine fever virus gene with similarity to the proto-oncogene bcl-2 and the Epstein-Barr virus gene BHRF1. J Virol. 1993;67(7):4391–4.
- Brun A, Rodriguez F, Escribano JM, Alonso C. Functionality and cell anchorage dependence of the African swine fever virus gene A179L, a viral bcl-2 homolog, in insect cells. J Virol. 1998;72(12):10227–33.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- $\bullet\,$ support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions



BioMed Central publishes under the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCAL). Under the CCAL, authors retain copyright to the article but users are allowed to download, reprint, distribute and /or copy articles in BioMed Central journals, as long as the original work is properly cited.