Click here to view current issues

on the Chicago Journals website.

Locating the Language Errors of Children

Author(s): G. M. Wilson

Source: The Elementary School Journal, Vol. 21, No. 4 (Dec., 1920), pp. 290-296

Published by: The University of Chicago Press Stable URL: https://www.jstor.org/stable/993787

Accessed: 25-10-2021 03:14 UTC

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at https://about.jstor.org/terms



The University of Chicago Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to The Elementary School Journal

LOCATING THE LANGUAGE ERRORS OF CHILDREN

G. M. WILSON Iowa State College

We were shown a number of years ago that children who have studied formal grammar do not write any better or interpret literature any better than children who have not studied formal, technical grammar.¹ We have also been taught that formal English grammar is ineffectual as a discipline.2 However, the time schedule for language and grammar in the public schools has remained about the same. In fact there has been a tendency in recent years to extend the time schedule, particularly in the lower grades. This has been accompanied by the effort to find a better type of work than the old formal grammar. The better type of work, for the most part, has consisted of oral and written composition on a motivated basis, and more recently of specific work designed to correct the language errors of children. So far as the author knows, the first effort to list the language errors of grade pupils was that of the teachers in the schools at Connersville, Indiana, who noted the errors of grade- and high-school pupils through a period of two weeks, a total of seventy-nine different errors being reported.³ Since that time a number of such studies have been made. The Boise study, reported in the special report of the public schools of Boise, June, 1915, followed in detail the Connersville plan and shows strikingly similar findings. Kansas City study under the direction of Dr. Charters included written as well as oral language errors of children. The oral mistakes noted in Kansas City showed a situation almost identical

¹ Franklin S. Hoyt, "Studies in the Teaching of English Grammar," *Teachers College Record*, November, 1906.

² Thomas H. Briggs, "Formal English Grammar as a Discipline," *Teachers College Record*, September, 1913.

³ G. M. Wilson, "Errors in Language of Grade Pupils," *Educator-Journal*, December, 1999.

with that discovered in Connersville and Boise. The Cincinnati study of the common mistakes in pupils' oral and written English as reported in the *Elementary School Journal*¹ was in some respects a more thorough study than any of the preceding ones and resulted in considerably extending the list of pupils' mistakes.

The particular purpose of the present article is to note the most common errors of the pupils as shown by all of these studies and to show that a survey in a small city conducted for but a short period of time will furnish most valuable data on which to base an important phase of the language work, namely, the correction of language mistakes made by the children. The table here presented shows the language errors noted in the Connersville study, followed by a list of any additional errors reported for each of the succeeding studies for which data are available. It will be observed that in addition to those of Connersville, Kansas City, Boise, and Cincinnati, a list of errors noted in the Iowa consolidated schools is included. This was the result of the scoring of 1 854 compositions of pupils in a number of conslidated schools.

LANGUAGE ERRORS NOTED IN THE CONNERSVILLE STUDY²

1. Aint.	к в	(C)	12. You can go (for
2. All of us took an			may). C K B (C)
apple.			13. Cetches. C B (C)
3. All of us took an			14. He clum the tree. C K (C)
apple.			15. He come (for came). C K B (C)
4. And, and, etc. (re-			16. I'm comin'. C B (C)
dundant). C			17. I have did my best.C K B (C)
5. He <i>are</i> here.			18. They does well.
6. Where is he at?	\mathbf{B}	(C)	19. He done it. C K B (C)
7. It is awful hot. C		(C)	20. Don't hardly (double
8. He did it that away.			negative). K B
9. He begin it (for			21. Don'thaveno (double
began). C	В		negative).
10. He begun it.		(C)	Haven't no. C K B (C)
11. The wind blowed			22. He don't know it. K (C)
fiercely.		(C)	23. He nearly drownded.

¹ Isabel Sears and Amelia Diebel, "A Study of the Common Mistakes in Pupils' Oral English," *Elementary School Journal*, September, 1916.

² The letters to the right mean: C= Consolidated Schools; K=Kansas City; B=Boise; (C)=Cincinnati.

4. Beautifuler.

24.	I dunno.				54. Oxens.			
25.	'em for them.				55. This is pretty near			
26.	Et for ate.			(C)	(nearly) right. C			
27.	He give me one. C	K	В	(C)	56. The bell has rang. C K B			
28.	Git. C		В	(C)	57. It is real good (for			
29.	Yes, I'm goin'. C			(C)	very). C			
30.	I haven't got any. C	K	В	(C)	58. Have rode. C			
	We got home (for			• •	59. It run. C K B (C)			
-		K		(C)	60. He rung the bell. C K B (C)			
32.	Hain't. C			` '	61. He kept runnin'. C B			
	John he did it. C	K	В	(C)	62. I have saw. C K B (C)			
	Her did it.			` ,				
		K			3			
		K			64. It seems like I should			
-	Him and I will go.			(C)	know you. K B			
	He did it hisself.	K		(C)	65. Shall you do it? (for			
	I don't mind him			(-)	will). CKB			
0,5	going. C	K		(C)	66. He sit there until			
40.	She told Doris and			(-)	dark (for sat). C K B (C)			
		K		(C)	67. I sung at the club			
4 1.	They is, or was. C			(C)	yesterday. K			
	Kin for can.			(C)	68. Each one studied			
•	I knowed it. C			(C)	their lesson. C K (C)			
	He learned me to do			(-)	69. Themapples are fine. C K B (C)			
77.		K	B	(C)	70. It was them (for			
45.	She loves peaches		_	(0)	they).			
73.	(for like).				71. I like those kind of			
46	Me and him did it. C	ĸ	R		apples.			
-	Me and you did it. C		_		72. He throwed it. (C)			
	You are taller than				73. If there was (for			
40.	me.				were). C K (C)			
40.	It was me.	K		(C)	74. You <i>was</i> . K			
	Misses Fair (for Miss).			` '	75. She has went. C B (C)			
•	He picked it up on				76. To who did you sent			
ŭ	the walk.				it.			
52.	Onct for once.			(C)	77. Who did you meet?			
	Neither this or that			• •	78. They wuz.			
•	is correct.				79. Is this yourn?			
LANGUAGE ERRORS ADDED BY THE KANSAS CITY STUDY (ORAL)								
ı.	He ask me (for asked).	С	В		5. Done got. C			
2.	Attackted.				6. Drink (confusion past			
•	Raddeet	C			tence and past parti			

ciple).

1920]

1. A for an.

3. Alaways.

2. Along with for with.

7. Had ought.8. Joyfulest.9. Lie and lay (confusion). C	В	(C)		She is the tallest (of two). C That there book.					
10. More better.	, Б	(C)	-	Us girls went. C					
11. He only went two miles (misplaced).		` ,		The problem what is assigned.					
12. He looked up quick.		(C)	18.	Went and did it. C B (C)				
13. I taken some. C		(C)	19.	Worser. C (C)				
LANGUAGE ERRORS ADDED BY THE KANSAS CITY STUDY (WRITTEN)									
r. An, and. C			12.	Road, rode (confusion).					
2. You all (one person). C		(C)		The, they, there (con-					
3. Are, our, or.				fusion). C (C)				
4. They drug the road.				There, their (confusion).C					
5. Fore, four, for (con-				Then, than (confusion).					
fusion). C			16.	To, too, two (confusion,					
6. Have, half (confusion). C				possibly spelling). C B					
7. Hear, here (confusion).			17.	Threw, through (con-					
8. Lose, loss, loose (con-				fusion). C					
fusion).				Where, were (confusion).	• • •				
9. New, knew (confusion). C				Introductory why. C B (C Your, you're (con-	.)				
10. No, know (confusion). C		(C)	20.	, -					
11. Of, off (confusion). C		(C)		fusion).					
LANGUAGE ERRO	RS	ADDE	ED :	BY THE BOISE STUDY					
1. The four boys divided	it		9.	Mad for angry.					
between them.			10.	Sequences of tenses.					
2. Break (past tense and	i		II.	Take (past tense and past					
past participle).		(C)		participle). He has took. C (C	")				
3. Fur, for far.			12.	Theirselves					
4. I didn't go to do it (inten	\mathbf{d}			Introductory, well, now, so. C					
to).				What for did you do it?					
5. I guess so (for think).			15.	Without I go, etc. (for					
6. He went in the house (fe	or			unless).					
into).	С		16.	Write (past tense and					
7. I kind of thought so.	_	(0)		past participle). He has					
8. Lots for many or much.	С	(C)		wrote.					
LANGUAGE ERRORS ADDED BY THE IOWA CONSOLIDATED SCHOOLS STUDY									

4. Ate up.

6. Best for better.

5. Bild for build (spelling).

- 7. Blue, blew.
- 8. By for buy (spelling).
- 9. Came for became.
- 10. Eat for ate.
- 11. Every for very.
- 12. Fetch.
- 13. Got done.
- 14. Has never yet.
- 15. Has for had.
- 16. Has for was.
- 17. Have drove.
- 18. Like for as.
- 10. Nice.
- 20. On for one.
- 21. Only for except.
- 22. Out of for from.

- 23. Pan full of.
- 24. Put for but.
- 25. Raining for rainy (participle for adverb).
- 26. Round for around.
- 27. Scart for scared. (C)
- 28. Introductory then.
- (C) 20. Then for than.
 - 30. There (superfluous).
 - 31. They sows.
 - 32. Tolled for told (spelling).
 - 33. Until for when.
 - 34. Up to instead of at or in.
 - 35. We best take.
 - 36. Went for when.
 - 37. Would for could.

LANGUAGE ERRORS ADDED BY THE CINCINNATI STUDY

- 1. Boughten, tooken.
- 2. Brung.
- 3. Busted.
- 4. By my aunt's.
- 5. By us for near us.
- 6. Doing dishes and help cook.
- 7. Done my work (sequence).
- 8. Durst.
- o. Good for well.
- 10. Gooder.
- 11. Haf (for have).
- 12. He says, says he.
- 13. That doesn't hurt (make any difference).
- 14. In back of.
- 15. Largest (of two).
- 16. Leave, for let.
- 17. Lend for borrow.

- 18. Make dinner (for prepare or get).
- 10. Never gave.
- 20. Find fault of.
- 21. Perty, wich, wen, etc. (mispronounced).
- 22. Sawn, for saw.
- 23. Suspicion for suspect.
- 24. Says, present for past.
- 25. Snuck.
- 26. That there.
- 27. That, which (for who, whose).
- 28. These there.
- 20. Stays to home, different to.
- 30. Upon Monday.
- 31. Would run for ran.
- 32. Youse.
- 33. I have stayed at home and

One surprising thing is that a short study of two weeks in a small city, Connersville, gave a very extensive and helpful list of pupil errors. The number of errors added by the large Kansas City study, while important, is not very great. Boise added only a a few more. Quite a number were added by the Iowa consolidated school compositions but many of these are specific and would have

been included under the general heads of previous reports. It is apparent from an analysis of this composite list that the most common and doubtless the most fundamental errors were discovered in the first study and that practically all of these were likewise discovered in each of the later studies. A few items may be listed which, although not technically incorrect, are not good usage.

By summarizing these different studies, it is possible to determine with reasonable accuracy the few most common errors. The Connersville study showed that if the ten most common errors were corrected, 51 per cent of all oral language mistakes made by children would be eliminated. The list of errors which follows is made up by taking from each study referred to the ten most common errors reported in that study. The ten most common errors reported in Connersville are listed below as the first ten. Errors eleven to fourteen, inclusive, are the ones added in the first ten errors from the Boise study. Error fifteen is added by the oral errors from Kansas City. Errors sixteen to twenty are added by the written errors in Kansas City. Errors twenty-one to twenty-six are added by the consolidated school reports. Errors twenty-seven and twenty-eight are added by the Cincinnati study. These twenty-eight errors comprise all those included in the list of the ten most common errors reported in each of the studies noted, seventeen of them being supplied by the studies of oral errors and eleven by the lists of written errors reported from Kansas City and the Iowa consolidated schools. The numbers in parentheses at the right indicate the ten errors of most frequent occurrence when all these lists are combined.

TEN MOST COMMON MISTAKES FROM FIVE STUDIES

- 1. Ain't, hain't. (1)
- 2. Saw and seen (confusion). (2)
- 3. Plural subject with singular verb. (3)
- 4. Double negative. (5)
- 5. Have got. (8)
- 6. Come and came (confusion). (9)
- 7. Git.
- 8. Them and those (confusion).

- o. Teach and learn (confusion).
- 10. Can and may (confusion). (10)
- 11. Do, did, and done. (4)
- 12. "And" for "to" with infinitive.
- 13. Shall and will. (6)
- 14. Go, went, gone.
- 15. Subject of verb not in nominative as: Her did it.
- 16. To, two, too (confusion). (7)

- 17. There, their (confusion).
- 18. Singular subject and plural verb.
- 19. The, there, they (confusion).
- 20. An, and (confusion).
- 21. And, and, and.
- 22. Lots of.

- 23. Got for arrived, received, etc.
- 24. Introductory then.
- 25. Is for are.
- 26. A for an.
- 27. I and my brother.
- 28. Frank and me in nominative case.

The outstanding facts about all of these studies of language errors are:

- 1. The list is exceedingly small.
- 2. When the lower-grade list is made up carefully, few errors are added by upper-grade children.
 - 3. Lower-grade errors persist in upper grades.
- 4. Verb errors constitute fully 50 per cent of all errors and among these a very few verbs make up most of the errors.
- 5. Errors are specific, which means that they are not made by rule and cannot be effectively corrected by rule.
- 6. Oral and written errors are largely the same except that in written work one new class of errors enters, namely, the confusion of words of similar sound.
- 7. There is a strong probability that if the effort is placed on the correction of mistakes actually made by children, improvement can be made very rapidly. The Boise authorities report "striking improvement in a short time," but no specific data are given.

Among the other studies of language errors that have been made, one catalogued a total of 10,000 errors and found that the thirteen most common ones constituted 48 per cent of all errors scored, while forty-three items made 82 per cent of the total scored. This report merely confirms the conclusion drawn from other studies to the effect that the total number of errors of common occurrence is relatively small. In consideration of this fact, is it not reasonable to ask a teacher or group of teachers to discover the common language errors made by pupils with the notion of correcting them through games, pride in correct speaking, and the necessary direct instruction?